App F IX-4

Previous References
Ml 110-30 Sls 8,9,14,15,18 etc

1931-32 (Ml)

File No. 230-31

Serial Nos. 5,7813

Later References
Ml 2-31 Sls 52,53,55,56,57+59

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos Three

Part B for June 1932

SUBJECT.

Interpellations (proposed for replies in the Session of the R.A. June 1932) by Mr Venkataramaiah, Chitaldrug re the high-handed ~~attitude~~ attitude of the President Mysore City Mcl Ch towards Mr I S Alikhan, Member of the Municipal council

---

Recorded

6/7/32

N-A- interpellations

4242

General and Revenue Secretariat | 2nd File No 2 70 of 1931 | [App F III—2

Serial No

Interpellation referred for reply in the session of the Legislative Assembly, June 1932, by Mr. B. Venkatramanaiah, Chittoor cum Nellore Rural, regarding the high-handed attitude of the Police, especially of the Police Constables and Mr. T. S. Ali Khan, member of the Police.

Draft calling for the information asked for approval.

2nd file 2-31-32 Linked for reference

Mr 2/5

For perusal before issue

(Sen't Secy) 2/5

Legislative Secretary

REGISTRY SECTION 2 MAY 1932 GENL & REV SEC

Mr 2/5

Issue 2/5

7 To the Police, Nellore in 7403 dt. 2-5-32 calling for information

Sub A

NOTL—Contn Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13 8-31

R A interpellations — June 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

[App F, III—2

File No 230 of 1931. 980 44 D. Pl.

Serial No

13 Letter no C.7 8 dy 8-5-32 from the Pol. Agent Kig- with forwarding draft Replies to the interpellation no 6 to be answered at the coming session of the Legislative Assembly in June 1932

The connected file has been put up with D no 4374 (re complaint of one T-S Ali Khan against the Mal Press)

2 The Pol. considers that the interpellations are not of sufficient public interest and importance to take up the time of the L A. It is for consideration whether the interpellation may not be disallowed. ~~It is suggested that the question may be answered.~~

12/5.

For orders

(Mr Heaton)

3 ~~Sec~~ Secy LA See for remarks

13/5

4 Please put up the file of disallowances for 1932

Submitted with the file

16/5

PSVN 16.5.32

5 This interpellation has been admitted. Except possibly sub-clauses (1) & (2) the question does not contravene the conditions of rule 25 of the L A rules. If the President chooses, it may be disallowed as not being in the

NOTE—Contn Sheet

GENERAL SECRETARY'S OFFICE 13 MAY 1932 No. SECTION

14 MAY 1932

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13-8 31

w" the public interest under rule 25" But
in this case, there seems to be nothing detrimental
to public interest. clauses b & c" to some
extent involve a matter of opinion based
on an argument or hypothetical premise.
These clauses are not mere requests for information
& may be disallowed under rule 25 (3)

6. The reference mentioned by the
Municipal President vide ¶ 4 of proclamation
& para 6 9(5) of the C.O. of 1932 pertain
to Representations i.e local objects will
have to be disposed of by the local bodies.
They do not apply to interpellations, & there
is no such limitation in regard to them
Gen Secretary) Ce 16/5/32

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
16 MAY 1932
No.

7 Orders may be obtained on the IA section
on the point raised by the Mc President
& communicated to the L.S.G.'s Branch
so that replies to the Interpellation
may be furnished

Gen
16/5

8 Submitted for orders if the question
may be disallowed the question seems yes
to be admissible under the rules clauses.
but if the question may be disallowed
if deemed fit.

Dewan)

DEWAN'S OFFICE
19 MAY 1932

Ce
19/5/32

9 yes. Notice may be disallowed

Gen
19/5

Hms 20/5 10 To the A-G's office for note & return for pulling up draft replies

11 Noted & returned Dy. Sec. L.S.G.B. 23/5

N. A interpellation:- June. 1932

4442 1663

App F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat 4431 | 2nd File No 230 of 1931.

Serial No	
	12) The replies furnished by the Provincial Govt to clauses (a) to (f) of the interpellation seem to be suitable and may perhaps be adopted 25/5 The portions enclosed in brackets may perhaps be omitted— AH 25/5 Please put up the file relating to the nomination of Mr Ali Khan to be re-called Immediate AM 25/5
Please see flag C	2nd File 110-30-40 is put up 25/5 AH Please put up also the file relating to the correspondence on the subject with the President & the HCC & containing a copy of the President's letter of 26 3 32 AM 25/5
	Letter no. C.T 10 dy 24-5-32 from the Pol. Agent is-sued requesting that the under-mentioned replies may be substituted for those noted against (b) and (d) in the interpellation — Substitute (b) Vide the 10 (1)(b) of the Ind Regs (d) He was appointed by name under the 10(b) and not in any representative capacity

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02563—GPB—50 000—8 7 30

11/4/31

File No of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

The changes proposed by the President in the draft replies have been made & the draft is submitted for orders

Subdn (a) of the Question. A copy of the President's letter dt 16 3 32 is in the linked file & is flagged W. W

The reply may perhaps follow the wording of para 2 of the letter & an alternative is suggested accordingly

Subdn (b)

To a similar question from another member re nominations to Municipal Cttees the following reply has been approved — 21

'The object of reservation is to provide for representation of communities whose representatives do not come in by election'

The President's draft reply merely refers the Member to Sec 10(1)(b) of the Mc Regn. This provision merely fixes the proportion of non Offcials & Officials & does not mention the Govt's nominations

The reply to be given is for orders

Subdn (d)

The file relating to the nominations to the Cuttack Council, Mysore, is put up. Reference is invited to the Submission note of the Dewan in the file V

The reply proposed by the President is for orders

44-2

Serial No

The answers to (c), (e) & (f) seem to be alright

For orders

(Sec' Secy) 26/5

GENERAL SECRETARY 26 MAY 1932 No. 518

A typed draft as directed is subd

(Sec' Secy) 27/5

Dewan 28/5

Seen by the Dewan
Vide his orders against (g)
26/5 Please send me with the reply to (g)
30/5

Resd with reply wkg!
30/5

(Sec' Secy) 30/5

30/5 for 30/5

(Typing Section)
Pse type in duplicate 4.
30/5. copies of the reply

TYPING SECTION 30 MAY 1932 GENERAL

(R. A Section with empls)
31/5.

Copies taken & file returned
31/5

B
A
1576

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

M. 230-31-5

4242

GEN 25 AUG L.S.G. BRANCH.

FORM II

WD 405—GPB—2 000—30-8 27

Memorandum of Interpellations proposed for reply in the **Birthday** Session of the Representative Assembly of the **Mysore** District

For the **Birthday** Session of **June 1932.** - 192.

Subject	Interpellation
No **VI.**	**Will the Government be pleased to state**
Name of Member	(a) Whether they are aware of the fact that the President of the Mysore City Municipal Council has written to Mr. T.S.Alikhan, a nominated member of the Municipal Council on 16th March 1932 to the effect that the interests of the rate payers of Mysore City are no concern of his as he represents no constituency of any kind?
Same as for I.	(b) What is the object of making nominations to Local Bodies?
	(c) Whether or not a member nominated to a Municipal Council is expected to work in the interest of the rate payers?
	(d) Whether Mr. T.S.Alikhan was not nominated by Government with the idea of representing Muslim Community of Mysore also?
	(e) What is the population of Musalmans in the City of Mysore according to the latest Census and whether there are not rate payers among them?
	(f) Whether the President himself is not a nominated official and how long has he been in that office as President?
	(g) How long has Mr. T.G.Rama Iyer the President of the Municipal Council been stationed in Mysore City in one or other capacity?
	(h) Whether it is permissible for a ~~President~~ President (himself a Government servant) to insult a member nominated by Government in the above manner?
	(i) Whether such a conduct is not likely to create a distrust in the minds of the minorities and especially Muslims?
	Whether such a treatment will not also injure the self respect of honourary workers and act as great discouragement?

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No D 27403/ane

Dated, Bangalore, 2-5-32

From

~~N RAMA RAO, Esq, B A B L~~

Sen *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Development Department

To

The Presdt,
Mysore Legislative Council,
Mysore.

MC 230-31-7

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a copy of the interpellation by Mr B. Venkatramaiah, Chitaldrug to be answered at the ensuing Session of the Representative Assembly, June 1932, I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward draft replies to the same before the 7 th May 1932, along with full information on the subject to enable Government to answer any supplemental questions that are likely to arise during the course of the proceedings

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Sen. ~~Development~~ *Department*

2/5

E232

Interpellation No.VI.

Will the Government be pleased to state:-

(a) Whether they are aware of the fact that the President of the Mysore City Municipal Council has written to Mr. T.S. Alikhan, a nominated member of the Municipal Council, on 16th March 1932 to the effect that the interests of the rate payers of Mysore City are no concern of his as he represents no constituency of any kind ?

(b) What is the object of making nominations to Local Bodies ?

(c) Whether or not a member nominated to a Municipal Council is expected to work in the interes of the rate payers ?

(d) Whether Mr. T.S. Alikhan was not nominated by Government with the idea of representing muslim community of Mysore also ?

(e) What is the population of Muselmans in the City of Mysore according to the latest Census and whether there are not rate papers among them?

(f) Whether the President himself is not a nominated official and how long has he been in that Office as President ?

(g) How long has Mr. T.G. Rama Iyer, the President of the Municipal Council been stationed in Mysore City in one or dher capacity ?

(h) Whether it is permissible for a President (himself a Government servant) to insult a member nominated by Government in the above manner ?

(i) Whether such a conduct is not likely to create a distrust in the minds of the minorities and especially Muslims ?

Whether such a treatment will not also injure the self respect of honorary workers and act as great discouragement?

"C opy"

Aswatha Rao

For General Secretary to Govt.

4376

DO
No C.T8/Enc 3

Rec. 230-31-13 4431

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE

DATED 8th May 193 2

From

T.G.RAMA IYER ESQ.,B.A.,
President, City Municipal Council,
M Y S O R E.

GENERAL SECRETARY
10 MAY 1932
No

The Secretary to Government,
General Department,
P A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L.7403/M1 230-31 dated 2nd May 1932, I have the honour to forward herewith draft replies to Interpellation No.3 to be answered at the ensuing session of the Representative Assembly in June 1932. A detailed report on the subject has already been furnished to Government in this Office Confidential letters No.1516 dated 21st April 1932, 1574 dated 3rd May 1932 and 1585 dated 4th May 1932 Copies of Mr.Ali Khan's letter and my reply dated 16th March 1932 are enclosed for reference. A full answer is given to question (a) as the question seeks to misrepresent the letter and a mere affirmative answer would be acquiescing in the misinterpretation

In this connection, I beg to state that in the list of subjects etc. received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, this interpellation stands in the name of Mr.C.Mari Gowda, while in the letter under reference it is stated to be by Mr.B.Venkataramiah. In the present instance, a letter sent to Mr.Ali Khan on the 16th March 1932 which was not open to the Press and which was circulated only among the Councillors and which is therefore not open to the public has

been chosen for a theme for the interpellations. The ultimate authorshipand inspiration for the interpellations are only too obvious in this instance. I therefore humbly appeal to Government to graciously consider whether the interpellations are of sufficient public interest and importance to take up the time of the Representative Assembly in the spirit of para 8 of His Highness' Proclamation dated 27th October 1923, vide page 3 of Mysore Legislative Council and Representative Assembly Manual Volume I(1923 Edition) and in the light of sub-para (5) of para 69 of the Government Order on Constitutional Reforms on page 62 of the above Manual.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

GVN

Replies to Interpellation No.VI

Interpellations	Replies
Will the Government be pleased to state	
(a) Whether they are aware of the fact that the President of the Mysore City Municipal Council has written to Mr.T.S.Ali Khan, a nominated member of the Municipal Council on 16th March 1932 to the effect that the interests of the rate payers of Mysore City are no concern of his as he represents no constituency of any kind?	Government are aware of the President's letter dated 16-3-1932 informing Mr.Ali Khan that since rate-payers' constituency constituted him as its representative, the question of interfering with the rights of the rate-payers through him did not arise.
(b) What is the object of making nominations to Local Bodies?	Nominations are made to local bodies to include in them persons with knowledge of special interests and of the needs of the minority communities.
(c) Whether or not a member nominated to a Municipal Council is expected to work in the interest of the rate-payers?	Yes
(d) Whether Mr.T.S.Ali Khan was not nominated by Government with the idea of representing Muslim community of Mysore also?	Mr.Ali Khan was appointed 'by name' under Section 10(b)(i) of the Municipal Regulation and not as the accredited representative of any community.
(e) What is the population of Musalmans in the City of Mysore according to the latest Census and whether there are not rate payers among them?	17,768, Yes
(f) Whether the President himself is not a nominated official and how long has he been in that Office as President?	Yes. Since the 1st May 1928
(g) How long has Mr.T.G.Rama Iyer, the President of the Municipal Council been stationed in Mysore City in one or other capacity?	Vide, History of Services of Gazetted Officers.
(h) Whether it is permissible for a President (himself a Government servant) to insult a member nominated by Government in the above manner?	(h) & (i) It is submitted that these questions seem to be inadmissible as not being in conformity with rule 4 of the Rules re Interpellations No.P.4348-Legis 13-23-23 dated 5-2-1924 (vide page 55 of the Mysore Legislative Council and Representative Assembly Manual Volume I: 1924 edition) They are not requests for information but for opinion on an assumed hypothesis and also contain arguments and inferences and are therefore inadmissible under Rule 4(1)(a),(c) and (d).
(i) Whether such a conduct is not likely to create a distrust in the minds of the minorities and especially Muslims?	If however the questions are considered admissible the answers are suggested below
Whether such a treatment will not also injure the self respect of honorary workers and act as great discouragement?	(h) No.
	(i) There is no insult in the President expressing his opinion as stated in answer to question (a) above

President.

No. G.T.1385/16-3-1932.

City Municipal Office,

Mysore

Dated 16th March 1932.

Dear Sir,

Referring your letter dated 15th March 1932 requesting my concurrence to release our correspondence to the Press, I write to state that as I respect duly constituted - authorities who will decide such matters - namely - the Municipal Council and Government I have to take the strongest objection to your evading duly constituted authority and rushing to the Press.

As regards the rights of the rate-payers which you refer to I must say that no such question can arise in your case as you represent no constituency of any kind.

Yours sincerely,

Sd.T.C Rama Iyer

To

T.S.Ali Khan, Esq.,

Municipal Councillor, Chamaraj Road,

M y s o r e.

"Copy"

President

GVN

Chamaraj Road,Mysore
15-3-132

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your letter No.G.T.1549 dated 9-3-32 and thank you for the same

I am surprised to see that you refer to the points raised by me as collateral ones. When you insist upon ten days notice for propositions for amending or revising the resolutions of the various committees, and you do not even let me know what the resolutions of the Committees are till about two days before the meeting, one can only come to the conclusion that you want to mak it impossible for any member to exercise the privilege under the Regulation Either the ruling is wrong or the circulation of resolutions of Committees without giving ten days time for members to send up propositions for modification is wrong. There ought to be no difficulty to understand this simple matter correctly an easily if only the question is viewed dispassionately and without prejudice It is by no means a collateral issue It is one concerning a member's right derived oy the Regulation, and I woul not have bothered myself, and taken up so much of my time with this controversy unless I was sure that the President was there to uphold the rights of members and not curtail them

I am not less surprised at your other interpretation of the Section (26cl 3) regarding circulation of papers 7 days before the meeting, namely, that it means that 7 days time is allowed for circulation among 29 members. You want me to understand these matters correctly and easily I confess my poor brains cannot perform such a feat even with your guidance and instructions.

I must thank you for repeating the statement that you will not send papers to me, as you cannot give an undertaking about sending them giving more than two days time, and may I repeat what I have already stated that you may do as you please

Since this controversy is one affecting the rights of members of the Mysore City Ml Council and through them the

rights of the ratepayers, I hope you will have no objection to my releasing the correspondence to the Press and allowing the Public to form their own judgment in the matter.

Let me assure you that so far as I am concerned this is final on the above questions.

Yours sincerely,

Sd.T.S.Ali Khan

"Copy"

President

4633

DO
No C T 10

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT.
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE
DATED 24th May 1932

From

T.G.RAMA IYER ESQ.,B.A.,
President, City Municipal Council,
M Y S O R E.

To

The Secretary to Government,
General Department,
BANGALORE

GENERAL SECRETARY
25 MAY 1932
No

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.C.T.8 dated 8th May 1932 forwarding draft replies to Interpellation No.6 to be answered at the ensuing session of the Representative Assembly in June 1932, I have the honour to request that the under-mentioned replies may kindly be substituted for those noted against (b) and (d) in the Interpellations -

Substitute	For
(b) Vide Section 10(~~1~~)(1) of the Municipal Regulation.	(b) Nominations are made to local bodies to include in them persons with knowledge of special interests and of the needs of the minority communities.
(d) He was appointed by name under Section 10(b)(1) and not in any representative capacity	(d) Mr.Ali Khan was appointed 'by name' under Section 10(b)(1) and not as the accredited representative of any community

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

GVN

Replies to Interpellation No."I.

Interpellations.	Replies.
ill the Government be pleased to state:-	Telling
(a) Whether they are aware of the fact that the President of the Mysore City Municipal Council has written to Mr.T.S.Ali Khan, a nominated member of the Municipal Council, on 16th - March 1932 to the effect that the interests of the rate payers of Mysore City are no concern of his as he represents no constituency of any kind?	Government are aware of the president's letter dated 16-3-1932, ~~informing~~ Mr.Ali Khan that as he represented no constituency of any kind, no question of the rights of rate payers referred to by him could arise in his case.
(B) What is the object of making nominations to Local Bodies?	inter alia The object is to provide for representation of communities whose representatives do not come in by election.
(c) Whether or not a member nominated to a Municipal Council is expected to work in the interest of the rate-payers?	Y e s .
(d) Whether Mr. T.S.Ali Khan was not nominated by Government with the idea of representing Muslim community of Mysore also?	Y e s .
(e) What is the population of Musalmans in the City of Mysore according to the latest Census and whether - there are not rate payers among them?	17,768, Yes.
(f) Whether the President himself is not a nominated official and how long has he been in that Office as President?	Yes. Since the 1st May 1928.
(g) How long has Mr.T. G. Rama Iyer, the President of the Municipal - Council been stationed in Mysore City in one or other capacity?	Vide, History of Services of Gazetted Officers.

× × ×

Give him the information

He has been stationed in Mysore City from 9-10-27 to date for about 4 years and 7 months

RO 30/3/32

L.4.